Package 'APCanalysis'

July 21, 2025

Type Package
Title Analysis of Unreplicated Orthogonal Experiments using All Possible Comparisons
Version 1.0
Date 2017-11-03

Author Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah.

Maintainer Arden Miller <a.miller@auckland.ac.nz>

Description Analysis of data from unreplicated orthogonal experiments such as 2-level factorial and fractional factorial designs and Plackett-Burman designs using the all possible comparisons (APC) methodology developed by Miller (2005) <doi:10.1198/00401700400000608>.

Depends R (>= 3.3.0)

License GPL-3

LazyData true

NeedsCompilation no

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2017-11-07 13:03:34 UTC

Contents

APCanalysis-package	2
apc	3
EERpenalties	4
FDRpenalties	6
IERpenalties	7
PB12matrix	8
PB12response	
plot.apc	9
summary.apc	
testdata	11

12

Index

APCanalysis-package

Analysis of Unreplicated Orthogonal Experiments using All Possible Comparisons

Description

This package provides functions to analyse data from unreplicated orthogonal experiments such as 2-level factorial and fractional factorial designs and Plackett-Burman designs using the all possible comparisons (APC) methodology.

Details

apc() identifies the active effects from an unreplicated orthogonal experiment using a modified version of the all possible comparisons (APC) procedure proposed by Miller (2005). This function has been designed specifically to analyse data from two-level designs including full factorial designs, regular fractional factorial designs and Plackett-Burman designs.

The APC procedure is based on minimizing an AIC-like criterion: APC = log(ResSS) + p where p is a penalty term that increases as the size of the candidate model increases. The APC procedure can be adapted to control either the individual error rate (IER), the experimentwise error rate (EER) or the false discovery rate (FDR). The functions IERpenalties(), EERpenalties() and FDRpenalties() can be used to estimate the penalties used in the APC criterion for each type of error control.

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah.

Maintainer: Arden Miller <a.miller@auckland.ac.nz>

References

Miller A.E. (2005) _The analysis of unreplicated factorial experiments using all possible comparisons_ Technometrics, 47, 51-63, 2005.

See Also

apc, IERpenalties, EERpenalties, FDRpenalties.

Examples

This example demonstrates the analysis of an artificial data set for a 12-run
Plackett-Burman design stored in "PB12matrix". The values of "PB12response" were
generated using the following active effects: B=7, D=5, H=11, I=4 and K=6. The
remaining columns were all set to be inactive (effects equal 0).
my.apc = apc(PB12response, PB12matrix, maxsize=6, method = 2, level = 0.20, reps = 10000)
summary(my.apc)
plot(my.apc)

Description

apc() applies the all possible comparisons procedure to identify the active effects.

Usage

apc(y, x, maxsize, level=0.05, method=1, data=NULL, effnames=NULL, reps=50000, dp=4)

Arguments

У	Either the response vector or the model formula for the full model.
х	The model matrix for the full model - only used when y is a response vector.
maxsize	The maximum model size.
level	The level of error control.
method	The type of error control: $1 = IER$, $2 = EER$, $3 = FDR$.
data	Optional data frame
effnames	Optional vector containing labels for the candidate effects.
reps	The number of repetitions used by the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm which estimates the set of penalties (default is 50000).
dp	the number of decimal places returned for estimates of effects. Default is 4.

Details

The APC procedure is based on minimizing an AIC-like criterion: APC = log(ResSS) + p where p is a penalty term that increases as the size of the candidate model increases. The penalties can be selected to control either the individual error rate (IER), the experimentwise error rate (EER) or the false discovery rate (FDR) at a specified level. In addition to the type and level of error control, the penalties also depend on the run size of the experiment, the number of candidate effects and the maximum model size.

Value

A list with components

Results	A data frame that summarizes the results of the APC analysis. The best model of each size is indicated along with its ResSS and value for the APC criterion.
Penalties	A vector containing the penalties used for the APC procedure.
level	The level of error control.
ErrorType	The type of error control used.
k	The number of candidate effects.
m	The maximum model size.

арс

арс	The value of APC for the selected model
Ests	A vector containing the estimated effects
ActEffs	A vector containing the names of the effects included in the selected model.
NonActEffs	A vector containing the names of the effects not included in the selected model.

Note

Penalties are estimated using Monte Carlo simulations and thus the estimates will not be exactly the same each time the function is run. The precision of the estimates can be increased by increasing the number of reps but the function will take longer to run. The amount of time needed to run this programme increases as the values of n, k and m increase. For larger experiments it may be necessary to reduce the number of reps.

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

References

Miller A.E. (2005) _The analysis of unreplicated factorial experiments using all possible comparisons_ Technometrics, 47, 51-63, 2005.

See Also

IERpenalties, EERpenalties, FDRpenalties.

Examples

```
## This example demonstrates the analysis of an artificial data set for a unreplicated
## factorial design for four two-level factors. The values of "resp" were generated as
## "resp<-round(10+8*x1+5*x3+7*x4+6*x1*x4+rnorm(16), 2)". The data is contained in the
## data frame "testdata". A maximum model size of 6 and an IER of .05 are used.
apc(resp~x1*x2*x3*x4, maxsize=6, data=testdata, method=1, level=.05, reps=9000)
```

EERpenalties EER Penalties for APC

Description

EERpenalties() generates the set of penalties used for the APC criterion so that the experimentwise error rate (EER) is controlled at the specified level. The values of the penalties are estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation procedure and also depend on the run size of the experiment, the number of candidate effects and the maximum model size.

Usage

```
EERpenalties(n, k = n - 1, m = min(n - 2, k), eer = 0.2, reps = 50000, rnd = 3)
```

EERpenalties

Arguments

n	The number of experimental runs in the study, i.e. the row dimension of the design matrix (for orthogonal 2-level designs n must be a multiple of 4).
k	The number of candidate effects under study, i.e., the column dimension of the design matrix $(1 < k \le n-1)$.
m	The maximum size of the candidate models $(0 < m < \min(n-2, k))$.
eer	The level $(0 < eer < 1)$ at which the experimentwise error rate will be controlled (default is eer = 0.2).
reps	The number of repetitions used by the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm which estimates the set of penalties (default is 50000).
rnd	The number of decimal places returned for the estimated penalties (default is $rnd = 3$).

Value

A vector of containing the m + 1 penalties for the APC procedure that controls the EER at the specified level.

Note

Penalties are estimated using Monte Carlo simulations and thus the estimates will not be exactly the same each time the function is run. The precision of the estimates can be increased by increasing the number of reps but the function will take longer to run. The amount of time needed to run this programme increases as the values of n, k and m increase. For larger experiments it may be necessary to reduce the number of reps.

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

References

Miller A.E. (2005) _The analysis of unreplicated factorial experiments using all possible comparisons_ Technometrics, 47, 51-63, 2005.

See Also

apc, IERpenalties, FDRpenalties

Examples

Penalties for a 8-run experiment that has 5 candidate effects are generated.
The maximum model size is set to 5 and an experimentwise error rate of .25 is used.
EERpenalties(n = 8, k = 5, m = 5, eer = .25, reps = 15000)

FDRpenalties

Description

FDRpenalties() generates the set of penalties used for the APC criterion so that the false discovery rate (FDR) is controlled at the specified level. The values of the penalties are estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation procedure and also depend on the run size of the experiment, the number of candidate effects and the maximum model size.

Usage

```
FDRpenalties(n, k = n - 1, m = min(n - 2, k), fdr = .1, reps = 50000, rnd = 3)
```

Arguments

n	The number of experimental runs in the study, i.e. the row dimension of the design matrix (for orthogonal 2-level designs n must be a multiple of 4).
k	The number of candidate effects under study, i.e., the column dimension of the design matrix $(1 < k \le n-1)$.
m	The maximum size of the candidate models $(0 < m < \min(n-2, k))$.
fdr	The level $(0 < fdr < 1)$ at which the experimentwise error rate will be controlled (default is $fdr = 0.1$).
reps	The number of repetitions used by the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm which estimates the set of penalties (default is 50000).
rnd	The number of decimal places returned for the estimated penalties (default is $rnd = 3$).

Value

A vector of containing the m + 1 penalties for the APC procedure that controls the FDR at the specified level.

Note

Penalties are estimated using Monte Carlo simulations and thus the estimates will not be exactly the same each time the function is run. The precision of the estimates can be increased by increasing the number of reps but the function will take longer to run. The amount of time needed to run this programme increases as the values of n, k and m increase. For larger experiments it may be necessary to reduce the number of reps.

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

IERpenalties

References

Miller A.E. (2005) _The analysis of unreplicated factorial experiments using all possible comparisons_ Technometrics, 47, 51-63, 2005.

See Also

apc, IERpenalties, EERpenalties.

Examples

```
## Penalties for a 8-run experiment that has 5 candidate effects are generated.
## The maximum model size is set to 5 and a false discovery rate of .05 is used.
FDRpenalties(n = 8, k = 5, m = 5, fdr = .05, reps = 12000)
```

IERpenalties IER Penalties for APC

Description

IERpenalties() generates the set of penalties used for the APC criterion so that the individual error rate (IER) is controlled at the specified level. The values of the penalties are estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation procedure and also depend on the run size of the experiment, the number of candidate effects and the maximum model size.

Usage

IERpenalties(n, k = n - 1, m = min(n - 2, k), ier = 0.05, reps = 50000, rnd = 3)

Arguments

n	The number of experimental runs in the study, i.e. the row dimension of the design matrix (for orthogonal 2-level designs n must be a multiple of 4).
k	The number of candidate effects under study, i.e., the column dimension of the design matrix $(1 < k \le n-1)$.
m	The maximum size of the candidate models $(0 < m < \min(n-2, k))$.
ier	The level $(0 < ier < 1)$ at which the experimentwise error rate will be controlled (default is ier = 0.05).
reps	The number of repetitions used by the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm which estimates the set of penalties (default is 50000).
rnd	The number of decimal places returned for the estimated penalties (default is $rnd = 3$).

Value

A vector of containing the m + 1 penalties for the APC procedure that controls the IER at the specified level.

Note

Penalties are estimated using Monte Carlo simulations and thus the estimates will not be exactly the same each time the function is run. The precision of the estimates can be increased by increasing the number of reps but the function will take longer to run. The amount of time needed to run this programme increases as the values of n, k and m increase. For larger experiments it may be necessary to reduce the number of reps.

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

References

Miller A.E. (2005) _The analysis of unreplicated factorial experiments using all possible comparisons_ Technometrics, 47, 51-63, 2005.

See Also

apc, EERpenalties, FDRpenalties.

Examples

Penalties for a 8-run experiment that has 5 candidate effects are generated. ## The maximum model size is set to 5 and an individual error rate of .01 is used. IERpenalties(n = 8, k = 5, m = 5, ier = .01, reps = 15000)

PB12matrix

Plackett-Burman 12-run design matrix

Description

A binary matrix (-1 or +1) for a 12-run Plackett Burman design

Usage

```
data("PB12matrix")
```

Format

PB12matrix has 12 rows and 11 columns labelled A through K.

Examples

data(PB12matrix)

PB12response

Description

A constructed response vector for a 12-run Plackett-Burman design

Usage

```
data("PB12response")
```

Format

PB12response is a vector of length 12.

Details

The values of "PB12response" were generated using the following active effects: B=7, D=5, H=11, I=4 and K=6. The remaining columns were all set to be inactive (effects equal 0).

Examples

data(PB12response)

plot.apc

Graphical Summary of an APC Analysis

Description

Produces a scatterplot of minimum APC versus model size. This is useful for visualizing the relative values of APC for the best models of each size.

Usage

S3 method for class 'apc'
plot(x, elabs = TRUE, ...)

Arguments

Х	apc object
elabs	use effect labels as plotting characters
	other arguments

Value

none

summary.apc

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

See Also

apc, summary.apc

Examples

This example demonstrates the analysis of an artificial data set for a unreplicated ## factorial design for four two-level factors. The values of "resp" were generated as ## "resp<-round(10+8*x1+5*x3+7*x4+6*x1*x4+rnorm(16),2)". The data is contained in the ## data frame "testdata". A maximum model size of 6 and an IER of .05 are used. my.apc = apc(resp~x1*x2*x3*x4, maxsize=6, data=testdata, method=1, level=.05, reps=9000) plot(my.apc)

summary.apc

Summary for an apc object

Description

Produces a useful summary of an apc object

Usage

S3 method for class 'apc'
summary(object, ...)

Arguments

object	apc object
	other arguments

Value

none

Author(s)

Arden Miller and Abu Zar Md. Shafiullah

See Also

apc, plot.apc

testdata

Examples

This example demonstrates the analysis of an artificial data set for a unreplicated ## factorial design for four two-level factors. The values of "resp" were generated as ## "resp<-round(10+8*x1+5*x3+7*x4+6*x1*x4+rnorm(16), 2)". The data is contained in the ## data frame "testdata". A maximum model size of 6 and an IER of .05 are used. my.apc = apc(resp~x1*x2*x3*x4, maxsize=6, data=testdata, method=1, level=.05, reps=9000) summary(my.apc)

testdata

testdata data frame

Description

A constructed data frame to illustrate the use of the functions in the APC package.

Usage

data("testdata")

Format

The "testdata" data frame has 16 rows and 5 columns:

- resp response variable.
- x1 binary (-1 or +1) explanatory variable 1.
- x2 binary (-1 or +1) explanatory variable 2.
- x3 binary (-1 or +1) explanatory variable 3.
- x4 binary (-1 or +1) explanatory variable 4.

Details

```
The values of "resp" were generated as resp = round(10+8*x1+5*x3+7*x4+6*x1*x4+rnorm(16), 2).
```

Examples

data(testdata)

Index

```
* datasets
    PB12matrix,8
    PB12response, 9
    testdata, 11
* design
    apc, 3
    APCanalysis-package, 2
    EERpenalties, 4
    FDRpenalties, 6
    IERpenalties, 7
    plot.apc, 9
    summary.apc, 10
* models
    apc, 3
    EERpenalties, 4
    FDRpenalties, 6
    IERpenalties, 7
    plot.apc,9
    summary.apc, 10
* package
    APCanalysis-package, 2
apc, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10
APCanalysis (APCanalysis-package), 2
APCanalysis-package, 2
EERpenalties, 2, 4, 4, 7, 8
FDRpenalties, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8
IERpenalties, 2, 4, 5, 7, 7
PB12matrix, 8
PB12response, 9
plot.apc, 9, 10
summary.apc, 10, 10
testdata, 11
```