## Package 'EBrank'

July 21, 2025

mated parameters are asymptotically unbiased and normal, with known standard errors. A mix-

Description Empirical Bayes ranking applicable to parallel-estimation settings where the esti-

Title Empirical Bayes Ranking

Version 1.0.0

ture normal prior for each parameter is estimated using Empirical Bayes methods, subsequentially ranks for each parameter are simulated from the resulting joint posterior over all parameters (The marginal posterior densities for each parameter are assumed independent). Finally, experiments are ordered by expected posterior rank, although computations minimizing other plausible rank-loss functions are also given.	
<b>Depends</b> R (>= $3.2.4$ )	
License CC0	
Encoding UTF-8	
LazyData true	
RoxygenNote 5.0.1	
NeedsCompilation no	
Author John Ferguson [aut, cre]	
Maintainer John Ferguson < john.ferguson@nuigalway.ie>	
Repository CRAN	
<b>Date/Publication</b> 2017-01-12 15:13:29	
Contents	
EBrank          rankEM	
Index 4	

2 rankEM

**EBrank** 

EBrank: Empirical Bayes Ranking

## **Description**

Empirical Bayes ranking applicable to parallel-estimation settings where the estimated parameters are asymptotically unbiased and normal, with known standard errors. A mixture normal prior for the parameter is estimated, subsequentially ranks for each parameter are simulated from the resulting posterior. Finally, experiments are ordered by expected posterior rank, although computations minimizing other plausible rank-loss functions are also given.

#### **EBrank functions**

rankEM

rankEM

Empirical Bayes parameter ranking for parallel estimation scenarios

## **Description**

Empirical Bayes ranking applicable to parallel-estimation settings where the estimated parameters are asymptotically unbiased and normal, with known standard errors. A mixture normal prior for each parameter is estimated using Empirical Bayes methods, subsequentially ranks for each parameter are simulated from the resulting joint posterior over all parameters (The marginal posterior densities for each parameter are assumed independent). Finally, experiments are ordered by expected posterior rank, although computations minimizing other plausible rank-loss functions are also given.

## Usage

```
rankEM(betahat, sebeta, Jmin = 1, Jmax = 4, maxiter = 200, tol = 1e-05,
  nsim = 10000, cutoff = 0.5, maxpar = 40000, multiplestart = FALSE,
  sigmabig = 10, fixedcluster2 = TRUE, penfactor = 5000, fudge = 0.001,
  alpha = 0.05, FDR_BH = 0.05, topvec = c(10, 100, 1000, 10000))
```

#### **Arguments**

betahat	estimated effect sizes for each experiment
sebeta	standard error of estimated effect sizes
Jmin	minimum number of non-null clusters fit
Jmax	maximum number of non-null clusters fit
maxiter	maximum number of iterations for EM algorithm

rankEM 3

EM algorithm is considered to have converged if the sum of the squared Eu-

clidean distances between the parameter estimates on 2 iterations is less than

tol

nsim number of simulations from posterior distribution

cutoff controls which experiments are included for posterior rank simulation. If a nu-

meric between 0 and 1, it specifies the minimum posterior probability for inclusion in posterior rank simulations. If equal to 'f' then experiements in posterior rank simulation had p-values that were significant according to a Benjamini Hochberg correction at BH\_FDR, if equal to 'b' posterior simulations corre-

spond to experiments with Bonferoni significant p-values at level alpha.

maxpar maximum number of experiments to simulate

multiplestart if TRUE, multiple start points are used for the EM-algorithm based fitting of the

mixture normals (for a given number of clusters)

sigmabig the standard deviation for the 1st non-null cluster component

fixedcluster2 TRUE if the standard deviation for the 1st non-null cluster of the marginal dis-

tribution is fixed at sigmabig and its mean is fixed at 0. If set to FALSE, the

estimated mean and standard deviation of cluster 2 are free to vary.

penfactor factor for dirichlet penalization for cluster probabilities at each step of the EM

algorithm. The larger this is, the smaller the Dirichlet penalization

fudge small constant added to cluster probabilies at each EM step to ensure stability

alpha represents Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold when cutoff="b"

FDR\_BH represents FDR-corrected significance threshold when cutoff="f"

topvec a vector representing values for K such that posterior probabilities that the pa-

rameter for each experiment is within the set of K parameters having the largest

absolute values are given.

#### Value

A list of the top ranked experiments

### **Examples**

```
truetheta <- c(rep(0,900),rnorm(100))
setheta <- pmax(rexp(1000,1),.1)
esttheta <- rnorm(length(truetheta),mean=truetheta,sd=setheta)
# just rank experiments that are significant at 5% FDR
stuff <- rankEM(esttheta,setheta,cutoff='f',FDR_BH=.05)
# rank all experiments (slower)
# stuff <- rankEM(esttheta,setheta,cutoff='f',FDR_BH=1)</pre>
```

# **Index**

```
EBrank, 2
EBrank-package (EBrank), 2
rankEM, 2
```