# Package 'diffcor'

July 22, 2025

Type Package

Title Fisher's z-Tests Concerning Differences Between Correlations

Version 0.8.4

**Depends** R (>= 4.3.0), MASS

Date 2024-09-11

Description Computations of Fisher's z-tests concerning different kinds of correlation differences. The 'diffpwr' family entails approaches to estimating statistical power via Monte Carlo simulations. Important to note, the Pearson correlation coefficient is sensitive to linear association, but also to a host of statistical issues such as univariate and bivariate outliers, range restrictions, and heteroscedasticity (e.g., Duncan & Layard, 1973 <doi:10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551>; Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). Thus, every power analysis requires that specific statistical prerequisites are fulfilled and can be invalid if the prerequisites do not hold. To this end, the 'bootcor' family provides bootstrapping confidence intervals for the incorporated correlation difference tests.

License GPL (>= 2)

Encoding UTF-8

NeedsCompilation no

Author Christian Blötner [aut, cre]

Maintainer Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

**Repository** CRAN

Date/Publication 2024-09-12 15:50:02 UTC

# Contents

bootcor.dep																												2
bootcor.one																												3
bootcor.two	•	•	•				•			•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•		•		•	•		•	5
diffcor.dep .		•	•				•			•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		7
diffcor.one .		•	•				•			•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		8
diffcor.two .	•									•					•				•								•	9
diffpwr.dep	•									•					•				•								•	11
diffpwr.one																												13

# bootcor.dep

	diffpwr.two visual_mc .																			
Index																				19

bootcor.dep

Bootstrapped Correlation Difference Test for Dependent Correlations

# Description

Derivation of bootstrap confidence intervals for the calculation of correlation differences for dependent correlations.

# Usage

# Arguments

target	A vector containing the values for the target variable for which the correlations of the two competing variables x1 and x2 should be compared.
x1	A vector containing the values of the first variable being correlated with the target variable.
x2	A vector containing the values of the second variable being correlated with the target variable.
k	The number of bootstrap samples that should be drawn. The default is 5000.
alpha	Likelihood of Type I error. The default is .05.
digit	Number of digits in the output. The default is 3.
seed	A random seed to make the results reproducible.

# Details

Bivariate correlation analyses as well as correlation difference tests possess very strict statistical requirements that are not necessarily fulfilled when using the basic diffcor.dep() function from this package (Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). For instance, if the assumption of a normal distribution does not hold, the significance test can lead to false positive or false negative conclusions. To address potential deviations from normal distribution, the present function applies bootstrapping to the data. The output provides a confidence interval for the difference between the empirically observed correlations of two competing variables with a target variable, whereby the interval is derived from bootstrapping.

2

#### bootcor.one

#### Value

r_target_1	The empircally observed correlation between the first variable and the target variable.
r_target_2	The empircally observed correlation between the second variable and the target variable.
М	Mean of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between $r_target_1$ and $r_target_2$ .
LL	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between r_target_1 and r_target_2, given the entered Type I-level.
UL	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between r_target_1 and r_target_2, given the entered Type I-level.

# Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

# References

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

# Examples

bootcor	.one

Bootstrapped Correlation Difference Test between an Empirical and an Expected Correlation

# Description

Derivation of bootstrap confidence intervals for the calculation of correlation differences between the empirically observed correlation coefficient and a threshold against which this coefficient is tested.

#### Usage

#### Arguments

x	A vector containing the values of the first variable being involved in the correla- tion.
У	A vector containing the values of the second variable being involved in the correlation.
r_target	A single value against which the correlation between x and y is tested.
k	The number of bootstrap samples to be drawn. The default is 5000.
alpha	Likelihood of Type I error. The default is .05.
digit	Number of digits in the output. The default is 3.
seed	A random seed to make the results reproducible.

# Details

Bivariate correlation analyses as well as correlation difference tests possess very strict statistical requirements that are not necessarily fulfilled when using the basic diffcor.one() function from this package (Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). For instance, if the assumption of a normal distribution does not hold, the significance test can lead to false positive or false negative conclusions. To address potential deviations from normal distribution, the present function applies bootstrapping to the data. The output provides a confidence interval for the difference between the empirically observed correlation coefficient and the threshold against which this coefficient should be tested, whereby the interval is derived from bootstrapping samples.

# Value

r_emp	The empircally observed correlation between x and y.
r_target	The threshold against which r_emp is tested.
М	Mean of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between $r_{emp}$ and $r_{target}$ .
LL	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between r_emp and r_target, given the entered Type I-level.
UL	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between r_emp and r_target, given the entered Type I-level.

#### Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

4

# bootcor.two

#### References

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

# Examples

```
bootcor.two
```

Bootstrapped Correlation Difference Test between Correlations from Two Independent Samples

#### Description

Derivation of bootstrap confidence intervals for the calculation of correlation differences between the empirically observed correlations obtained from two independent samples.

# Usage

x1	A vector containing the values of the first variable being involved in the correla- tion in Sample 1.
y1	A vector containing the values of the second variable being involved in the correlation in Sample 1.
x2	A vector containing the values of the first variable being involved in the correla- tion in Sample 2.
у2	A vector containing the values of the second variable being involved in the correlation in Sample 2.

k	The number of bootstrap samples that should be drawn. The default is 5000.
alpha	Likelihood of Type I error. The default is .05.
digit	Number of digits in the output. The default is 3.
seed	A random seed to make the results reproducible.

# Details

Bivariate correlation analyses as well as correlation difference tests possess very strict statistical requirements that are not necessarily fulfilled when using the basic diffcor.two() function from this package (Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). For instance, if the assumption of a normal distribution does not hold, the significance test can lead to false positive or false negative conclusions. To address potential deviations from normal distribution, the present function applies bootstrapping to the data. The output provides a confidence interval for the difference between the empirically observed correlation coefficients obtained from two independent samples, whereby the interval is derived from bootstrapping.

#### Value

r1	The empircally observed correlation between x and y in Sample 1.
r2	The empircally observed correlation between x and y in Sample 2.
М	Mean of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between the corre- lations from the two samples.
LL	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between the correlations from the two samples, given the entered Type I-level.
UL	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the correlation difference between the correlations from the two samples, given the entered Type I-level.

#### Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

#### References

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

#### Examples

# diffcor.dep

```
k = 5000,
alpha = .05,
digit = 3,
seed = 1234)
```

diffcor.dep

#### Fisher's z-Tests of dependent correlations

# Description

Tests if the correlation between two variables (r12) differs from the correlation between the first and a third one (r13), given the intercorrelation of the compared constructs (r23). All correlations are automatically transformed with the Fisher z-transformation prior to computations. The output provides the compared correlations, test statistic as z-score, and p-values.

# Usage

```
diffcor.dep(r12, r13, r23, n, cor.names = NULL,
alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided"), digit = 3)
```

# Arguments

r12	Correlation between the criterion with which both competing variables are cor- related and the first of the two competing variables.
r13	Correlation between the criterion with which both competing variables are cor- related and the second of the two competing variables.
r23	Intercorrelation between the two competing variables.
n	Sample size in which the observed effect was found
cor.names	OPTIONAL, label for the correlation. DEFAULT is NULL
alternative	A character string specifying if you wish to test one-sided or two-sided differ- ences
digit	Number of digits in the output for all parameters, $DEFAULT = 3$

# Value

r12	Correlation between the criterion with which both competing variables are correlated and the first of the two competing variables.
r13	Correlation between the criterion with which both competing variables are correlated and the second of the two competing variables.
r23	Intercorrelation between the two competing variables.
z	Test statistic for correlation difference in units of z distribution
р	p value for one- or two-sided testing, depending on alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided)

#### Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

#### References

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Eid, M., Gollwitzer, M., & Schmitt, M. (2015). Statistik und Forschungsmethoden (4.Auflage) [Statistics and research methods (4th ed.)]. Beltz.

Steiger, J. H. (1980). Tests for comparing elements of a correlation matrix. Psychological Bulletin, 87, 245-251.

# Examples

```
diffcor.dep(r12 = .76, r13 = .70, r23 = .50, n = 271, digit = 4,
cor.names = NULL, alternative = "two.sided")
```

diffcor.one	Fisher's z-test of difference between an empirical and a hypothesized
	correlation

#### Description

The function tests whether an observed correlation differs from an expected one, for example, in construct validation. All correlations are automatically transformed with the Fisher z-transformation prior to computations. The output provides the compared correlations, a z-score, a p-value, a confidence interval, and the effect size Cohens q. According to Cohen (1988), q = |.10|, |.30| and |.50| are considered small, moderate, and large differences, respectively.

#### Usage

```
diffcor.one(emp.r, hypo.r, n, alpha = .05, cor.names = NULL,
alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided"), digit = 3)
```

emp.r	Empirically observed correlation
hypo.r	Hypothesized correlation which shall be tested
n	Sample size in which the observed effect was found
alpha	Likelihood of Type I error, DEFAULT = .05
cor.names	OPTIONAL, label for the correlation (e.g., "IQ-performance"). DEFAULT is NULL
digit	Number of digits in the output for all parameters, $DEFAULT = 3$
alternative	A character string specifying if you wish to test one-sided or two-sided differ- ences

#### diffcor.two

#### Value

r_exp	Vector of the expected correlations
r_obs	Vector of the empirically observed correlations
LL	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, $DEFAULT = 95$ percent
UL	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, DEFAULT = 95 percent
Z	Test statistic for correlation difference in units of z distribution
р	p value for one- or two-sided testing, depending on alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided)
Cohen_q	Effect size measure for differences of independent correlations

# Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

#### References

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Eid, M., Gollwitzer, M., & Schmitt, M. (2015). Statistik und Forschungsmethoden (4.Auflage) [Statistics and research methods (4th ed.)]. Beltz.

Steiger, J. H. (1980). Tests for comparing elements of a correlation matrix. Psychological Bulletin, 87, 245-251.

# Examples

diffcor.two

Fisher's z-Tests for differences of correlations in two independent samples

#### Description

Tests whether the correlation between two variables differs across two independent studies/samples. The correlations are automatically transformed with the Fisher z-transformation prior to computations. The output provides the compared correlations, test statistic as z-score, p-values, confidence intervals of the empirical correlations, and the effect size Cohens q. According to Cohen (1988), q = 1.101, 1.301 and 1.501 are considered small, moderate, and large differences, respectively.

# Usage

```
diffcor.two(r1, r2, n1, n2, alpha = .05, cor.names = NULL,
alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided"), digit = 3)
```

# Arguments

r1	Correlation coefficient in first sample
r2	Correlation coefficient in second sample
n1	First sample size
n2	Second sample size
alpha	Likelihood of Type I error, DEFAULT = .05
cor.names	OPTIONAL, label for the correlation (e.g., "IQ-performance"). DEFAULT is NULL
digit	Number of digits in the output for all parameters, $DEFAULT = 3$
alternative	A character string specifying if you wish to test one-sided or two-sided differ- ences

# Value

r1	Vector of the empirically observed correlations in the first sample
r2	Vector of the empirically observed correlations in the second sample
LL1	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the first empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, DEFAULT = 95 percent
UL1	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the first empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, DEFAULT = 95 percent
LL2	Lower limit of the confidence interval of the second empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, DEFAULT = 95 percent
UL2	Upper limit of the confidence interval of the second empirical correlation, given the specified alpha level, DEFAULT = 95 percent
Z	Test statistic for correlation difference in units of z distribution
р	p value for one- or two-sided testing, depending on alternative = c("one.sided", "two.sided)
Cohen_q	Effect size measure for differences of independent correlations

# Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

# References

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Eid, M., Gollwitzer, M., & Schmitt, M. (2015). Statistik und Forschungsmethoden (4.Auflage) [Statistics and research methods (4th ed.)]. Beltz.

Steiger, J. H. (1980). Tests for comparing elements of a correlation matrix. Psychological Bulletin, 87, 245-251.

# diffpwr.dep

# Examples

diffcor.two(r1 = c(.39, .52, .22), r2 = c(.29, .44, .12), n1 = c(66, 66, 66), n2 = c(96, 96, 96), alpha = .01, cor.names = c("a-b", "c-d", "e-f"), alternative = "one.sided")

```
diffpwr.dep
```

*Monte Carlo Simulation for the correlation difference between dependent correlations* 

#### Description

Computation of a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the statistical power of the comparison between the correlations of a variable with two competing variables that are also correlated with each other.

#### Usage

# Arguments

n Sample size to be tested in the Monte Carlo	simulation.
rho12 Assumed population correlation between the ing variables are correlated and the first of t	1
rho13 Assumed population correlation between the ing variables are correlated and the second of	1
rho23 Assumed population correlation between the	e two competing variables.
alpha Type I error. Default is .05.	
n.samples Number of samples generated in the Monte C minimum is 1,000 iterations, which is also the	
seed To make the results reproducible, it is recom	nmended to set a random seed.

#### Details

Depending on the number of generated samples (n.samples), correlation coefficients simulated. For each simulated sample, it is checked whether the correlations r12 and r13 differ, given the correlation r23. The ratio of simulated z-tests of the correlation difference tests exceeding the critical z-value, given the intended alpha-level and sample size, equals the achieved statistical

power(see Muthén & Muthén, 2002 <doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8>; Robert & Casella, 2010 <doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4>, for overviews of the Monte Carlo method).

It should be noted that the Pearson correlation coefficient is sensitive to linear association, but also to a host of statistical issues such as univariate and bivariate outliers, range restrictions, and heteroscedasticity (e.g., Duncan & Layard, 1973 <doi:10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551>; Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). Thus, every power analysis requires that specific statistical pre-requisites are fulfilled and can be invalid with regard to the actual data if the prerequisites do not hold, potentially biasing Type I error rates.

#### Value

As dataframe with the following parameters

rho12	Assumed population correlation between the criterion with which both compet- ing variables are correlated and the first of the two competing variables.
cov12	Coverage. Indicates the ratio of simulated confidence intervals including the assumed effect size rho12.
bias12_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho12, divided by rho12.
bias12_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho12, divided by rho12.
rho13	Assumed population correlation between the criterion with which both compet- ing variables are correlated and the second of the two competing variables.
cov13	Coverage. Indicates the ratio of simulated confidence intervals including the assumed effect size rho13.
bias13_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho13, divided by rho13.
bias13_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho13, divided by rho13.
rho23	Assumed population correlation between the two competing variables.
cov23	Coverage. Indicates the ratio of simulated confidence intervals including the assumed effect size rho23.
bias23_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho23, divided by rho23.
bias23_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho23, divided by rho23.
n	Sample size to be tested in the Monte Carlo simulation.
pwr	Statistical power as the ratio of simulated difference tests that yielded statistical significance.

Biases should be as close to zero as possible and coverage should be ideally between .91 and .98 (Muthén & Muthén, 2002 <doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8>).

# Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

# diffpwr.one

#### References

Duncan, G. T., & Layard, M. W. (1973). A Monte-Carlo study of asymptotically robust tests for correlation coefficients. Biometrika, 60, 551–558. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 9(4), 599–620. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8

Robert, C., & Casella, G. (2010). Introducing Monte Carlo methods with R. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

#### Examples

diffpwr.one	Difference Between an Assumed Sample Correlation and a Population
	Correlation

#### Description

Computation of a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the statistical power the correlation difference between an assumed sample correlation and an assumed population correlation against which the correlation should be tested.

#### Usage

n	Sample size to be tested in the Monte Carlo simulation.
r	Assumed observed correlation.
rho	Correlation coefficient against which to test (reflects the null hypothesis).
alpha	Type I error. Default is .05.

diffpwr.one

n.samples	Number of samples generated in the Monte Carlo simulation. The recommended
	minimum is 1,000 iterations, which is also the default.
seed	To make the results reproducible, it is recommended to set a random seed.

# Details

Depending on the number of generated samples (n.samples), correlation coefficients of size r are simulated. Confidence intervals are constructed around the simulated correlation coefficients. For each simulated coefficient, it is then checked whether the hypothesized correlation coefficient (rho) falls within this interval. All correlations are automatically transformed with the Fisher z-transformation prior to computations. The ratio of simulated confidence intervals excluding the hypothesized coefficient equals the statistical power, given the intended alpha-level and sample size (see Robert & Casella, 2010 <doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4>, for an overview of the Monte Carlo method).

It should be noted that the Pearson correlation coefficient is sensitive to linear association, but also to a host of statistical issues such as univariate and bivariate outliers, range restrictions, and heteroscedasticity (e.g., Duncan & Layard, 1973 <doi:10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551>; Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). Thus, every power analysis requires that specific statistical pre-requisites are fulfilled and can be invalid with regard to the actual data if the prerequisites do not hold, potentially biasing Type I error rates.

#### Value

As dataframe with the following parameters

r	Empirically observed correlation.
rho	Correlation against which r should be tested.
n	The sample size entered in the function.
соv	Coverage. Indicates the ratio of simulated confidence intervals including the assumed correlation r. Should be between .91 and .98 (Muthén & Muthén, 2002 <doi:10.1207 s15328007sem0904_8="">).</doi:10.1207>
bias_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho, divided by rho.
bias_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho, divided by rho.
pwr	Statistical power as the ratio of simulated confidence intervals excluding rho.

#### Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

#### References

Duncan, G. T., & Layard, M. W. (1973). A Monte-Carlo study of asymptotically robust tests for correlation coefficients. Biometrika, 60, 551–558. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 9(4), 599–620. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8

# diffpwr.two

Robert, C., & Casella, G. (2010). Introducing Monte Carlo methods with R. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

# Examples

```
diffpwr.one(n = 500,
    r = .30,
    rho = .40,
    alpha = .05,
    n.samples = 1000,
    seed = 1234)
```

diffpwr.two	Monte Carlo Simulation for the correlation difference between two
	correlations that were observed in two independent samples

# Description

Computation of a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the statistical power the correlation difference between the correlation coefficients detected in two independent samples (e.g., original study and replication study).

#### Usage

n1	Sample size to be tested in the Monte Carlo simulation for the first sample.
n2	Sample size to be tested in the Monte Carlo simulation for the second sample.
rho1	Assumed population correlation to be observed in the first sample.
rho2	Assumed population correlation to be observed in the second sample.
alpha	Type I error. Default is .05.
n.samples	Number of samples generated in the Monte Carlo simulation. The recommended minimum is 1,000 iterations, which is also the default.
seed	To make the results reproducible, a random seed is specified.

#### Details

Depending on the number of generated samples (n.samples), correlation coefficients are simulated. For each simulated pair of coefficients, it is then checked whether the confidence intervals (with given alpha level) of the correlations overlap. All correlations are automatically transformed with the Fisher z-transformation prior to computations. The ratio of simulated non- overlapping confidence intervals equals the statistical power, given the alpha-level and sample sizes (see Robert & Casella, 2010 <doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4>, for an overview of the Monte Carlo method).

It should be noted that the Pearson correlation coefficient is sensitive to linear association, but also to a host of statistical issues such as univariate and bivariate outliers, range restrictions, and heteroscedasticity (e.g., Duncan & Layard, 1973 <doi:10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551>; Wilcox, 2013 <doi:10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1>). Thus, every power analysis requires that specific statistical pre-requisites are fulfilled and can be invalid with regard to the actual data if the prerequisites do not hold, potentially biasing Type I error rates.

#### Value

As dataframe with the following parameters

rho1	Assumed population correlation to be observed in the first sample.
n1	Sample size of the first sample.
cov1	Coverage. Ratio of simulated confidence intervals including rho1.
bias1_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho1, divided by rho1.
bias1_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho1, divided by rho1.
rho2	Assumed population correlation to be observed in the second sample.
n2	The sample size of the second sample.
cov2	Coverage. Ratio of simulated confidence intervals including rho2.
bias2_M	Difference between the mean of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho2, divided by rho2.
bias2_Md	Difference between the median of the distribution of the simulated correlations and rho2, divided by rho2.
pwr	Statistical power as the ratio of simulated non-verlapping confidence intervals.
~	

Biases should be as close to zero as possible and coverage should be ideally between .91 and .98 (Muthén & Muthén, 2002 <doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8>).

## Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

#### References

Duncan, G. T., & Layard, M. W. (1973). A Monte-Carlo study of asymptotically robust tests for correlation coefficients. Biometrika, 60, 551–558. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOMET/60.3.551

#### 16

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 9(4), 599–620. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8

Robert, C., & Casella, G. (2010). Introducing Monte Carlo methods with R. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1576-4

Wilcox, R. (2013). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-67044-1

# Examples

```
diffpwr.two(n1 = 1000,
n2 = 594,
rho1 = .45,
rho2 = .39,
alpha = .05,
n.samples = 1000,
seed = 1234)
```

```
visual_mc
```

Visualization of the simulated parameters

#### Description

To evaluate the quality of the Monte Carlo simulation beyond bias and coverage parameters (Muthén & Muthén, 2002), it can be helpful to also inspect the simulated parameters visually. To this end, visual\_mc() can be used to visualize the simulated parameters (including corresponding confidence intervals) in relation to the targeted parameter.

#### Usage

rho	Targeted correlation coefficient of the simulation.
n	An integer reflecting the sample size.
alpha	Type I error. Default is .05.
n.intervals	An integer reflecting the number of simulated parameters that should be visual- ized in the graphic. Default is 100.
seed	To make the results reproducible, a random seed is specified.

# Value

A plot in which the targeted correlation coefficient is visualized with a dashed red line and the simulated correlation coefficients are visualized by black squares and confidence intervals (level depending on the specification made in the argument alpha).

# Author(s)

Christian Blötner <c.bloetner@gmail.com>

# References

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 9(4), 599–620. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0904\_8

# Examples

# Index

```
* &htest
    bootcor.dep, 2
    bootcor.one, 3
    bootcor.two, 5
    diffcor.dep, 7
    diffcor.one, 8
    diffcor.two,9
    diffpwr.dep, 11
    diffpwr.one, 13
    diffpwr.two, 15
* graphs
    visual_mc, 17
* utilities
    visual_mc, 17
bootcor.dep, 2
bootcor.one, 3
bootcor.two,5
diffcor.dep,7
diffcor.one, 8
diffcor.two,9
diffpwr.dep, 11
\texttt{diffpwr.one, } 13
diffpwr.two, 15
visual_mc, 17
```