

Package ‘hcidata’

July 22, 2025

Title HCI Datasets

Version 0.1.0

Type Package

Description A collection of datasets of human-computer interaction (HCI) experiments.

Each dataset is from an HCI paper, with all fields described and the original publication linked. All paper authors of included data have consented to the inclusion of their data in this package. The datasets include data from a range of HCI studies, such as pointing tasks, user experience ratings, and steering tasks.

Dataset sources:

Bergström et al. (2022) <[doi:10.1145/3490493](https://doi.org/10.1145/3490493)>;

Dalsgaard et al. (2021) <[doi:10.1145/3489849.3489853](https://doi.org/10.1145/3489849.3489853)>;

Larsen et al. (2019) <[doi:10.1145/3338286.3340115](https://doi.org/10.1145/3338286.3340115)>;

Lilija et al. (2019) <[doi:10.1145/3290605.3300676](https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300676)>;

Pohl and Murray-Smith (2013) <[doi:10.1145/2470654.2481307](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481307)>;

Pohl and Mottelson (2022) <[doi:10.3389/frvir.2022.719506](https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2022.719506)>.

Encoding UTF-8

License CC BY 4.0

Language en-US

URL <https://github.com/henningpohl/hcidata>

BugReports <https://github.com/henningpohl/hcidata/issues>

LazyData true

Depends R (>= 2.10)

Imports Rdpack

RdMacros Rdpack

RoxygenNote 7.2.2

NeedsCompilation no

Author Henning Pohl [aut, cre] (ORCID:
<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1420-4309>>)

Maintainer Henning Pohl <HenningPohl@gmail.com>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2022-12-05 14:00:02 UTC

Contents

AgencyUX	2
CasualSteering	3
HafniaHands	4
HandSize	5
OccludedInteraction	7
VrPointing	8
Index	11

AgencyUX	<i>Sense of Agency and User Experience</i>
----------	--

Description

Aggregated data from an experiment where participants used three different means of input to control a game. As established in previous work, the three means of input vary in objective sense of agency. This study collected subjective measures of agency, as well as subjective measures of user experiences for comparison.

Usage

AgencyUX

Format

A data frame with 126 observations of the following 26 variables:

ID Participant ID.

Age Participant age.

Gender Participants' self-reported gender.

Condition Which device was used (either touchpad, on-skin tapping, or button).

AttDiff1 AttrakDiff (pragmatic) = "I found the device: confusing–structured" (7 point scale).

AttDiff2 AttrakDiff (pragmatic) = "I found the device: impractical–practical" (7 point scale).

AttDiff3 AttrakDiff (pragmatic) = "I found the device: complicated–simple" (7 point scale).

AttDiff4 AttrakDiff (pragmatic) = "I found the device: unpredictable–predictable" (7 point scale).

AttDiff5 AttrakDiff (hedonic) = "I found the device: dull–captivating" (7 point scale).

AttDiff6 AttrakDiff (hedonic) = "I found the device: tacky–stylish" (7 point scale).

AttDiff7 AttrakDiff (hedonic) = "I found the device: cheap–premium" (7 point scale).

AttDiff8 AttrakDiff (hedonic) = "I found the device: unimaginative–creative" (7 point scale).

Umux1 UMUX-LITE 1 = "This system's capabilities meet my requirements: strongly disagree–strongly agree" (7 point scale).

Umux2 UMUX-LITE 2 = "This system is easy to use: strongly disagree–strongly agree" (7 point scale).

NASA1 NASA-TLX (mental demand) = "How mentally demanding was the task? low–high" (21 point scale).

NASA2 NASA-TLX (physical demand) = "How physically demanding was the task? low–high" (21 point scale).

NASA3 NASA-TLX (temporal demand) = "How hurried or rushed was the pace of the task? low–high" (21 point scale).

NASA4 NASA-TLX (performance) = "How successful were you in accomplishing what you were asked to do? low–high" (21 point scale).

NASA5 NASA-TLX (effort) = "How hard did you have to work to accomplish your level of performance? low–high" (21 point scale).

NASA6 NASA-TLX (frustration) = "How insecure, discouraged, irritated, stressed, and annoyed were you? low–high" (21 point scale).

Ownership Body Ownership = "It felt like the device I was using was part of my body: strongly disagree–strongly agree" (7 point scale).

Agency1 Agency = "It felt like I was in control of the movements during the task: strongly disagree–strongly agree" (7 point scale).

Agency2 Agency = "What is the degree of control you felt? lowest–highest" (7 point scale).

Agency3 Agency = "Indicate how much it felt like pressing/tapping the button/touchpad/arm caused the space craft to shot: not at all–very much" (7 point scale).

TimePerception Perception of task duration in seconds.

HitRate Hit percentage participants achieved when playing the game.

Source

Bergström J, Knibbe J, Pohl H, Hornbæk K (2022). "Sense of Agency and User Experience: Is There a Link?" *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.*, **29**(4). ISSN 1073-0516, doi:10.1145/3490493.

CasualSteering

Casual Interaction Steering Study

Description

Data from a study on casual interactions where participants had to move a ball from one side of a level to the other. They could use three different kinds of interaction to control the ball: (1) dragging via (2) direct touch, rate-controlled movement via hovering, and (3) fling gestures above the device. Depending on the levels' index of difficulty, the participants picked different interactions to solve the levels.

Usage

CasualSteering

Format

A data frame with 84 observations of the following 6 variables:

PID Participant ID.

level Level ID.

difficulty Index of difficulty of the level.

touch Percentage share of touch interactions.

hover Percentage share of hover interactions.

gestures Number of mid-air gestures performed by the participant.

Source

Pohl H, Murray-Smith R (2013). “Focused and Casual Interactions: Allowing Users to Vary Their Level of Engagement.” In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '13, 2223–2232. ISBN 9781450318990, doi:10.1145/2470654.2481307.

See Also

Other mobile interaction: [HandSize](#)

HafniaHands

Hafnia Hands study on presence with different hand textures in virtual reality

Description

Data from a remote VR study where participants were tasked to keep their hand within boxes moving in front of them. They did so with three different textures for their hands: (1) green alien hands, (2) hands in their own skin tone, and (3) hands in a mismatched skin tone. After each trial, participants gave ratings on presence and the look of the hands.

Usage

HafniaHands

Format

A list with two entries:

participants with 5 fields for 112 study participants:

pid Participant ID.

Age Participant age.

Sex Participants' self-reported sex.

VR Experience Participants' amount of experience with VR.

Skin Tone Participants' skin tone on the Fitzpatrick scale.

responses with 9072 entries in 5 fields:

pid Participant ID.

Trial Trial number.

Condition Trial condition: Alien Hand, Matched Hand, or Mismatched Hand

Measure Questionnaire item, which is one of:

Agency "I felt that the movements of the virtual hands were caused by my own movements" (Banakou and Slater 2014)

Body Ownership "I felt that the virtual hands I saw were my own hands" (Banakou and Slater 2014)

Resemblance "I felt that my virtual hands resembled my own (real) hands in terms of shape, skin tone, or other visual features" (Banakou and Slater 2014)

HQ0 "Please rate the hands based on the opposing adjectives: Inanimate to Living" (Ho and MacDorman 2017)

HQ1 "Please rate the hands based on the opposing adjectives: Synthetic to Real" (Ho and MacDorman 2017)

HQ2 "Please rate the hands based on the opposing adjectives: Mechanical movement to Biological movement" (Ho and MacDorman 2017)

HQ3 "Please rate the hands based on the opposing adjectives: Human-made to Human-like" (Ho and MacDorman 2017)

HQ4 "Please rate the hands based on the opposing adjectives: Without definite lifespan to Mortal" (Ho and MacDorman 2017)

Humanness Aggregate of HQ0-HQ4

Response Participants' response on 7-point (-3 to 3) scale. For Humanness this is the average of HQ0-HQ4.

Source

Pohl H, Mottelson A (2022). "Hafnia Hands: A Multi-Skin Hand Texture Resource for Virtual Reality Research." *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, **3**. ISSN 2673-4192, doi:10.3389/frvir.2022.719506.

See Also

Other virtual reality: [VrPointing](#)

HandSize

Touch Performance by Hand Size

Description

Data from a study on the influence of hand size on touch accuracy. Contains hand measurements for 27 participants, information on the two phones used in the study, and 27000 recorded touch samples.

Usage

HandSize

Format

A list with three entries:

participants with 16 fields for 27 study participants:

PID Participant ID.

Age Participant age.

Gender Participants' self-reported gender.

Phone Participants' personal phone.

Handedness Participants' dominant hand.

ThumbLength Length of thumb in cm.

IndexLength Length of index finger in cm.

MiddleLength Length of middle finger in cm.

RingLength Length of ring finger in cm.

PinkyLength Length of pinky finger in cm.

ThumbPadWidth Width of thumb pad in cm.

PalmWidth Width of palm in cm.

PalmLength Length of palm in cm.

IndexThumbLength Distance from index finger tip to base of thumb in cm.

ThumbIndexSpan Distance from thumb tip to index finger tip when hand is spread open in cm.

ThumbPinkySpan Distance from thumb tip to pinky tip when hand is spread open in cm.

devices with 5 fields:

Phone Phone used (Android or iPhone).

ScreenWidth Width of screen in px.

ScreenHeight Height of screen in px.

ScreenDpiX Horizontal screen dpi.

ScreenDpiY Vertical screen dpi.

data with 27000 observations in 10 fields:

PID Participant ID.

Trial Trial number.

Phone Phone used (Android or iPhone).

TouchX Horizontal touch position in pixel.

TouchY Vertical touch position in pixel.

TargetX Horizontal target position in pixel.

TargetY Vertical target position in pixel.

SelectionTimeSeconds Time it took to make the selection in seconds.

ErrorMM Offset from the target position in mm.

Outlier Whether this trial is considered an outlier because selection happened too fast or slow.

Source

Larsen JN, Jacobsen TH, Boring S, Bergström J, Pohl H (2019). "The Influence of Hand Size on Touch Accuracy." In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*, MobileHCI '19. ISBN 9781450368254, doi:10.1145/3338286.3340115.

See Also

Other mobile interaction: [CasualSteering](#)

OccludedInteraction *Acquisition study for occluded interaction*

Description

In this study, participants wore an AR headset and were asked to interact with objects occluded by a wall in front of them. They had to reach around to then manipulate the objects. They were supported in this task by several different kinds of visualization that showed them the object of interest. After each trial and at the end of the study, the participants provided ratings of each visualization as well as a ranking of the different visualizations.

Usage

OccludedInteraction

Format

A list with two entries:

participants with 6 fields for 24 study participants:

user Participant ID.

age Participant age.

gender Participants' self-reported gender.

glasses Whether the participant wears glasses.

handedness Participants' dominant hand.

ar_experience Self-reported level of experience with AR on a 5-point scale ("none" to "a lot").

ratings with 6 fields:

user Participant ID.

block Block ID. 99 is used to denote final questionnaire after the study.

object Occluded object that had to be used. Can be: button, dial, hdmi, hook, or slider.

view Visualization available during the trial. Can be: none, static, dynamic, cloned, or see-through.

question Which question was asked. Can be:

liked overall "Overall, I liked using the visualization when interacting with the object." ("Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree")

supported "How well did the visualization support you during the task?" ("Strongly impeded me" to "Strongly supported me")

manipulate "I could easily manipulate the object." ("Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree")

check state "I could easily check the state of the object." ("Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree")

ranking "How would you rank the five visualization with respect to how easy/hard they made it to interact with the object?"

rating "Please rate each view for how well it overall supported you during the study." ("Strongly impeded me" to "Strongly supported me")

rating Value between 0 and 6 for all ratings and 0 to 4 for the rankings.

Source

Lilija K, Pohl H, Boring S, Hornbæk K (2019). "Augmented Reality Views for Occluded Interaction." In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '19, 1–12. ISBN 9781450359702, doi:10.1145/3290605.3300676.

VrPointing

Pointing in Virtual Reality

Description

Data from a study where participants pointed at one of 27 targets in the space in front of them. This version contains calibration poses, participant information, and the final pose for each pointing trial. The full dataset with all movement within each trial is available at <https://github.com/TorSalve/pointing-data-ATHCC>.

Usage

VrPointing

Format

A list with three entries:

participants with 12 fields for 13 study participants:

pid Participant ID.

handedness Participants' dominant hand.

gender Participants' self-reported gender.

age Participant age.

forearmLength Length of forearm in meter.

forearmMarkerDist Distance from the forearm marker to the elbow in meter.

indexFingerLength Index finger length in meter.

upperArmLength Length of the upper arm in meter.

upperArmMarkerDist Distance from the upper arm marker to the elbow in meter.

height Participant height in meter.

rightShoulderMarkerDist.X Horizontal distance from the right shoulder marker to the participants' shoulder in meter.

rightShoulderMarkerDist.Y Vertical distance from the right shoulder marker to the participants' shoulder in meter.

calibration with 44 fields for 39 observations:

pid Participant ID.

pose Calibration pose, where 1 = arms pointing down, 2 = arm pointing to the right, and 3 = arm pointing forward.

indexFinger.X, indexFinger.Y, indexFinger.Z Index finger position in meter.

hand.X, hand.Y, hand.Z Hand position in meter.

forearm.X, forearm.Y, forearm.Z Forearm position in meter.

upperArm.X, upperArm.Y, upperArm.Z Upper arm position in meter.

rightShoulder.X, rightShoulder.Y, rightShoulder.Z Right shoulder position in meter.

hmd.X, hmd.Y, hmd.Z Headset position in meter.

leftShoulder.X, leftShoulder.Y, leftShoulder.Z Left Shoulder position in meter.

indexFingerO.X, indexFingerO.Y, indexFingerO.Z Index finger orientation in radians.

handO.X, handO.Y, handO.Z Hand orientation in radians.

forearmO.X, forearmO.Y, forearmO.Z Forearm orientation in radians.

upperArmO.X, upperArmO.Y, upperArmO.Z Upper arm orientation in radians.

rightShoulderO.X, rightShoulderO.Y, rightShoulderO.Z Right shoulder orientation in radians.

hmdO.X, hmdO.Y, hmdO.Z Headset orientation in radians.

leftShoulderO.X, leftShoulderO.Y, leftShoulderO.Z Left shoulder orientation in radians.

pointing with 48 fields for 1755 observations:

pid Participant ID.

trial Trial number.

time Time since beginning of trial in seconds.

indexFinger.X, indexFinger.Y, indexFinger.Z Index finger position in meter.

hand.X, hand.Y, hand.Z Hand position in meter.

forearm.X, forearm.Y, forearm.Z Forearm position in meter.

upperArm.X, upperArm.Y, upperArm.Z Upper arm position in meter.

rightShoulder.X, rightShoulder.Y, rightShoulder.Z Right shoulder position in meter.

hmd.X, hmd.Y, hmd.Z Headset position in meter.

leftShoulder.X, leftShoulder.Y, leftShoulder.Z Left Shoulder position in meter.

indexFingerO.X, indexFingerO.Y, indexFingerO.Z Index finger orientation in radians.
handO.X, handO.Y, handO.Z Hand orientation in radians.
forearmO.X, forearmO.Y, forearmO.Z Forearm orientation in radians.
upperArmO.X, upperArmO.Y, upperArmO.Z Upper arm orientation in radians.
rightShoulderO.X, rightShoulderO.Y, rightShoulderO.Z Right shoulder orientation in radians.
hmdO.X, hmdO.Y, hmdO.Z Headset orientation in radians.
leftShoulderO.X, leftShoulderO.Y, leftShoulderO.Z Left shoulder orientation in radians.
target.X, target.Y, target.Z Target position in meter.

Source

Dalsgaard T, Knibbe J, Bergström J (2021). “Modeling Pointing for 3D Target Selection in VR.” In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, VRST '21*. ISBN 9781450390927, doi:10.1145/3489849.3489853.

See Also

Other virtual reality: [HafniaHands](#)

Index

- * **augmented reality**
 - OccludedInteraction, [7](#)
 - * **datasets**
 - AgencyUX, [2](#)
 - CasualSteering, [3](#)
 - HafniaHands, [4](#)
 - HandSize, [5](#)
 - OccludedInteraction, [7](#)
 - VrPointing, [8](#)
 - * **mobile interaction**
 - CasualSteering, [3](#)
 - HandSize, [5](#)
 - * **user experience**
 - AgencyUX, [2](#)
 - * **virtual reality**
 - HafniaHands, [4](#)
 - VrPointing, [8](#)
- AgencyUX, [2](#)
- CasualSteering, [3](#), [7](#)
- HafniaHands, [4](#), [10](#)
- HandSize, [4](#), [5](#)
- OccludedInteraction, [7](#)
- VrPointing, [5](#), [8](#)